Erosion Control Blankets

EROSION CONTROL TECHNIQUE

Revegetation	1	Temperate Climates	1	Short-Term	1
Non Vegetation	1	Wet Tropics	1	Long-Term	
Weed Control	~	Semi-Arid Zones	~	Permanent	



Photo 1 – Anchorage of the up-slope edge of an erosion control blanket



ECB

Photo 2 – Placement of erosion control blankets (beige) on road batter, with permanent erosion control mat (black)

Photo supplied by Catchments & Creeks Pty Ltd

Key Principles

- 1. Critical performance parameters include the ability to control raindrop impact and sheet erosion within the underlying soil.
- 2. Erosion control blankets (ECBs) are used in locations likely to experience only low- to medium-velocity sheet flow.
- 3. The key to successful revegetation is good soil condition, good surface preparation, and intimate contact between the blanket and the soil.
- 4. Very important to ensure up-slope stormwater runoff passes over the surface of the blanket, rather than flowing under the blanket.
- 5. 'Thin' blankets allow vegetation growth up through the blanket (i.e. placement over seeded soil). Their erosion control properties can be compared to *'Light Mulching'*.
- 6. 'Thick' blankets may allow plant growth down through the blanket (i.e. seed placed by hydroseeding, hydromulching or bonded fibre matrix sprayed onto surface of placed blanket). Such blankets are desirable when the topsoil contains undesirable weed seed. Their erosion control properties can be compared to 'Heavy Mulching'.
- 7. Synthetic blanket reinforcing can entrap wildlife such as lizards, snakes and birds.
- 8. 'Jute' blankets have a service life similar to that of a hessian bag placed on the ground (i.e. approximately 3 months).
- 9. 'Coir' blankets (made from coconut fibres) have a service life similar to a brown, fibre, domestic doormat placed directly on the ground.
- 10. A 'mesh' is an open weave blanket made from rope-like strands such a hessian (jute) or coir rope.

Design Information

Fabric-based *Erosion Control Blankets* (ECBs), *Erosion Control Meshes*, and *Erosion Control Mats* (ECMs) all fall under the general category of 'Rolled Erosion Control Products' (RECPs). Erosion control blankets are generally applied to soils subject only to sheet flow such as road batters. Erosion control mats and meshes are generally applied to soils subject to concentrated flow such as within drainage channels.

When selecting an erosion control blanket it is important to determine what performance features and attributes (Table 1a & b) are required. Some of these features can be performed by both natural and synthetic materials. Synthetic (plastic) materials can cause environmental concerns, but natural materials often have a shorter design life.

It is noted that hydraulic functionality is just one of many issues requiring consideration when selecting the preferred product.



Photo 3 – Jute blanket (thick)



Photo 4 – Wood shaving blanket with temporary synthetic netting



Photo 5 – Coconut fibre blanket with temporary synthetic netting



Photo 6 – Wool blanket with synthetic weed control backing

conditions	Desirable features and requirements
Design life	 Synthetic products generally have a longer service life; however, coir- based products can survive longer than some non UV-treated polymers.
	 Permanent, UV-stabilised synthetics are general darker in colour (e.g. black) as a result of the carbon stabilisation.
	 Coir-based (coconut fibre) products generally last longer than jute- based materials.
Flow velocity or shear stress	 As a general indicator, the longer the product's service life, then usually the greater the allowable flow velocity and shear stress.
	 Allowable flow velocity can be increased by using a synthetic mulch layer, or increasing the strength of the mat reinforcing.
	 The allowable shear stress of 100% organic-based fabrics can be improved by anchoring the mat with a reinforcing mesh (such as jute mesh), or stabilising the fabric with a bitumen spray or other suitable tackifier.
Strong winds	 Resistance to damage by wind is related to the spacing of anchor pins and the strength of the mulch and/or root reinforcing.
	 High wind resistance can be achieved in a 100% biodegradable form by anchoring a jute/coir blanket with a jute/coir mesh.
Raindrop impact	 Open weave fabrics, such as jute/coir mesh, provide only limited protection against raindrop impact erosion, unless supported by a bitumen emulsion over-spray, or placing the mesh over a suitable blanket of loose mulch.
	 A UV-stabilised, synthetic mulch layer can continue to provide erosion protection even during periods of low vegetation cover.
Integration with separate mulch	• Fabrics that have a high friction surface will aid in the retention of loose mulch placed on fabrics installed on steep slopes.
layer	 Products such as nets, geonets and geogrids can be used to anchor loose mulch to steep slopes.
Integration with vegetation	 Grass seeding can be placed below 'thin' jute/coir blankets, or on top of 'thick' jute/coir blankets. The use of 'thick' blankets aids in the suppression of weed seed contained within the underlying soil.
	 The synthetic mesh within UV-stabilised TRMs can damage tree and shrub roots.
Short-term use without vegetation	 Most products can be used for short-term erosion control or channel lining even if the product was originally designed to function in association with a vegetative cover.
	 Filter cloth and weed control fabrics can often be used as short-term channel linings on temporary batter chutes.
	 In semi-arid environments where 100% vegetation cover is unlikely to occur, and/or the establishment of vegetation is considered unreliable, then coir-based organic products or synthetic mulch blankets may be preferred.
Weed control	 'Thick' organic-based blankets and woven synthetic blankets can be used to suppress weed growth

Operational conditions	Desirable features and requirements
Steep slopes	 Most 100% organic-based blankets can experience significant distortion (slip) when placed on steep slopes unless plant establishment is quickly achieved. It should be noted that this distortion does not necessarily mean that the blanket has failed.
	• On steep sites, consideration should also be given to hydraulically applied blankets, such as <i>Bonded Fibre Matrices</i> and <i>Compos Blankets</i> .
	 Some weed control fabrics incorporate a high-friction upper surface to aid in the retention of loose surface mulch. For example, some blankets incorporate a needle-punch wool upper surface layer. Of course this particular feature will have a limited service life.
Temporary batter chutes	 Non-woven fabrics generally have a lower allowable flow velocity, but generally bond better to the underlying earth than woven fabrics, thus there is usually a lower risk of erosion under the blanket.
	 Woven fabrics have a higher allowable flow velocity, but can be more susceptible to water flow passing between the fabric and the soil.
Impact on future reuse of topsoil	• The use of blankets and mats reinforced with UV-stabilised synthetics can interfere with the effective future re-use of any affected topsoil.
	 Appropriate consideration must always be given the long-term sustainability of a given product before it is selected for use on a site.
Site access	On steep sites or sites with poor access, consideration should be given to hydraulically applied blankets, such as <i>Bonded Fibre Matrices</i> and <i>Compost Blankets</i> .
Wildlife	 Synthetic-based blankets should generally not be use within bushland areas. Small ground-dwelling fauna, such as lizards and snakes, car become entangled in the netting. Ground-fossicking, granivorous (seed-eating) birds are also at risk of entanglement within the mesh.
	 The use of organic-based, 100% biodegradable fabrics is preferred in within and adjacent to wildlife areas such as bushland.
Grazing animals	 Synthetic-based blankets should be used with extreme caution in areas used by grazing animals.
Pedestrian traffic	 Some low strength blankets can experience significant disturbance when subjected to pedestrian traffic.
	 Biodegradable (non-metal) anchorage pins/staples may be required in order to minimise safety risks to humans. It is noted rusty steel staples can become exposed by surface erosion.
Mowing	• Turf reinforcement mats that are topped with a light covering of soi are less susceptible to damage from mowing.
Risk of grass fires	• The susceptibility of permanent mats to fire damage varies depending on the type of fire and the degree of earth cover.
	 If the mats are used on permanent batter drains and catch drains then appropriate maintenance access may be required to allow repairs and/or replacement of damaged matting.
Airports	 Restriction may be placed on the choice of anchoring (stapling) system typically within 9m of an airport runway. Generally the use of metallic pegs and staples should be avoided to prevent damage to aircraft tyres.

Table 1b – Desirable features and requirements of erosion control mats and blankets for various operational conditions

In circumstances where reliable data (i.e. confirmed by laboratory testing) exists, selection and design can be based on manufacturer's design specifications. In circumstances where such data does not exist, then selection of erosion control blanket should be based on Table 2.

Class	1				2			3					
Туре	Α	В	С	AX	вх	СХ	Α	В	С	Α	В	С	D
Typical location	Eml	bankme	ents	Em	bankm	ents	Emb	ankme	nts, ch	utes &	draina	ge char	nnels
Maximum bank slope (X:1)	4.0	2.5	2.0	4.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Permissible shear stress (Pa)	N/A	50	70	N/A	50	70	N/A	95	95	95	95	170	240
Allowable 'sheet' flow velocity (m/s)	<1	1.1	1.3	<1	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5		N/A	
Allowable 'concentrated' flow velocity (m/s)	<1	2.2	2.6	1.4	2.2	2.6	1.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.7	3.9
Mowing required during plant establishment				1	1	1				1	1	1	1
Pedestrian traffic likely to occur during plant establishment				1	1	1				1	1	1	1
Wildlife friendly	✓			1			1						
Within 9m of airport runways				1	1	1							

Table 2 – Default selection guide for erosion control blankets

Erosion control blanket/mat classification system

A classification system for erosion control blankets and mats (e.g. Class 1, Type A) is provided in Table 3. In general terms, this classification system is based on the following distinctions.

Class 1 blankets:

Class 1 includes those temporary, light-duty Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECPs) that are primarily used in areas of 'sheet' flow, and thus are termed *Erosion Control Blankets*. A further division is made by separating those products best used away from pedestrian areas (Type A, B & C), and those products used in areas where the blankets could be subject to foot traffic or are likely required to experience mowing during the service life of the blanket (Type AX, BX & CX).

Class 2 blankets/mats:

Class 2 includes those temporary, heavy-duty Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECPs) that are primarily used in areas of medium shear stress such as embankment higher than 3m in tropical areas, and drainage channels. These products are termed *Erosion Control Blankets or Erosion Control Mats* depending on their use.

Class 3 mats:

Class 3 comprises permanent, heavy-duty Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECPs), including turf reinforcement mats, that are primarily used in areas of high shear stress such as drainage channels and spillways/chutes. These products are typically termed *Erosion Control Mats*.

Note: The classification system presented in Table 3 is **not** comprehensive. Not all erosion control blankets can be classified under this system. Specifically, Table 3 does not identify those blankets with weed control properties.

Table 3 should not be used to prevent or limit the appropriate application of those unclassified products. In all cases, the application of best practice erosion control requires the selection of the technique or procedure most appropriate for the given site conditions.

Table 3 – Classification of erosion control blankets and mats													
Class				1				2			:	3	
Туре	Α	В	С	AX	BX	СХ	Α	В	С	Α	В	С	D
Typical location ^[1]	Eml	bankm	ents	Eml	bankm	ents	Emb	ankme	nts, ch	utes &	draina	ge char	nnels
Permissible shear stress (Pa) ^[2]	N/A	50	70	N/A	50	70	N/A	95	95	95	95	170	240
Maximum slope ^[3] (X:1)	4.0	2.5	2.0	4.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
RUSLE C-factor (maximum)		0.2		0.2		N/A			N/A				
Used in drainage channels	No	[4]	[4]	No	[4]	[4]		Yes			Y	es	
Turf reinforce- ment mat (TRM)	No			No			No		No Yes				
Minimum service life	3 months ^[5]		3 months ^[5]			1 years ^[6]			Permanent matting				
Thickness (mm)		N/A		N/A	9	9	N/A			N/A			
Able to withstand mowing ^[7]	N/A		Yes			Yes			Yes				
Able to withstand foot traffic ^[8]		N/A		Yes			Yes			Yes			
Wildlife friendly ^[9]	Yes	N	/A	Yes	N	/A	Yes N/A			[10]			
Anchor pins		Any		Biodegradable ^[11] Any				Any					
			Prima	iry blan	ket or	matting	, comp	onent					
Primary material	(Organio)	(Organi	С	[12]	Organic ^[13]		Synthetic			
Manufacture	No	on-wov	en	No	on-wov	en	[14]	[15]	[16]	N/A	Wov	/en/we	lded
				Ne	tting co	ompone	ent						
Netting	No	Allo	wed	No	Allo	wed	No	[17]	Yes	Yes			
Туре	N/A	A	ny	N/A	Org	anic	N/A	[18]	[19]	Synthetic			
% of weight (max)	N/A	N/A 15%		N/A	15	5%	N/A 15%		5%	N/A			
Photodegradable	Allowable		е	A	llowab	le	N/A Yes		No				
Biodegradable	A	llowab	е		100%		10	0%	Yes		N	0	
Stitching properties	N/A	As net	for ting	N/A	As net	for ting	N/A	As net	for ting		As for	netting	

Notes:

[1] 'Typical location' is a general classification. The primary objective of the Type AX, BX & CX is to ensure ongoing safety to pedestrian traffic potentially affected by rusty, metallic anchoring pins/staples. Note; galvanised pins/staples are generally not acceptable due to limited anchorage of the blanket/mat.

[2] Failure in shear is defined by either, separation of 10% of the blanket from the soil surface, and/or the equivalent loss of 12mm of soil from the treated area (as per ASTM D6460-99 or equivalent).

- [3] Maximum slope applied only when significant rainfall is possible prior to plant establishment.
- [4] Blankets/mats can be used within minor (low velocity) drainage channels or on the banks of waterways in locations where revegetation is expected to occur before subject to high flow velocity.
- [5] Service life defined by the maintenance of a maximum Cover Factor (C) of 0.20 based on the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) prior to establishment of the required vegetation cover. A minimum 6 months service life may be required in locations where vegetation establishment is known to be slow, such as during winter months.
- [6] Service life defined by either the maintenance of a maximum Cover Factor (C) of 0.20 based on the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) prior to establishment of the required vegetation cover, or separation of 10% of the blanket from the soil surface, and/or the equivalent loss of 12mm of soil from the treated area (as per ASTM D6460-99 or equivalent).
- [7] Requirement to allow for mowing of the treated surface without causing damage to the blanket applies to those areas where mowing of the emerging grass will likely be required prior to establishment of the required (e.g 70% cover) vegetation cover.

Table 3 notes (continued):

- [8] Requirement to allow for occasional foot traffic without causing damage to the blanket applies to those areas where occasional foot traffic is anticipated prior to establishment of the required (e.g 70% cover) vegetation cover.
- [9] Requirement for the blanket to accommodate potential ground-dwelling wildlife is typically required when the blanket is placed adjacent to wildlife areas such as bushland, wildlife corridors, waterways, and land containing grazing animals.
- [10] Turf reinforcement mats can potentially affect and/or be damaged by grazing animals.
- [11] Anchorage pins/staples may be required to be biodegradable (e.g not metal) in order to minimise the risk of injury to humans, domestic animals, or wildlife following the long-term exposure of rusty or otherwise dangerous obstacles buried in the soil. Biodegradable anchorage pins/staples are also required on all blanket/mat installations within 9m of an airport runway. Note; it is the 'rusting' of metal staples that provides much of their anchorage properties.
- [12] Manufactured from 100% jute or coir fibres, or combination there of.
- [13] The parent material of Class 2 Type B & C blankets/mats must have a maximum water absorption rate of 300%, by weight (ASTM D1117 or equivalent); and a maximum swell (wet thickness change) of 30% (as per ASTM D1777 or equivalent). The lignin content must be greater than 38% (as per Technical Assoc of the Pulp and Paper Industry test method T222 or equivalent).
- [14] Jute and coir products may be non-woven (thick blankets), or woven (mesh). Warning, jute mesh may not be able to achieve the 1-year service life if located within a moist environment.
- [15] Woven mats allowed with a maximum opening of 12mm.
- [16] Woven or non-woven material allowed.
- [17] Blanket can be reinforced with netting made from organic fibres only (e.g. jute or coir).
- [18] Only organic fibres are allowed to avoid wildlife being trapped within the netting.
- [19] Non-organic, photodegradable or biodegradable netting allowed.

Table 3 presents the flow stability properties of erosion control blankets and mats in terms of permissible shear stress measured in units of Pascals (Pa). Permissible shear stress is considered a more reliable measure of blanket's resistance to damage by water flow and is the measure typically used within Europe and USA; however, allowable flow velocity is more commonly used within Australia.

Table 4 defines the relationship between permissible shear stress (Pa) and allowable flow velocity (m/s) for various values of hydraulic radius (R). Table 3 is only appropriate for non-vegetated erosion control blankets and mats based on the assumed Manning's n roughness presented within the table. The table is therefore appropriate for Class 1 and 2 erosion control blankets.

Assumed Manning's roughness Hydraulic radius (m)	Hydraulic			Permissib	ole shear s	tress (Pa)		
	radius (m)	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
0.10	0.05	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.51	0.55	0.58	0.61
0.08	0.10	0.54	0.61	0.67	0.72	0.77	0.82	0.86
0.06	0.15	0.78	0.87	0.95	1.03	1.10	1.16	1.23
0.05	0.20	0.98	1.09	1.20	1.29	1.38	1.47	1.54
0.045	0.25	1.13	1.26	1.38	1.49	1.59	1.69	1.78
0.04	0.30	1.31	1.46	1.60	1.73	1.85	1.96	2.07
0.035	0.40	1.57	1.75	1.92	2.07	2.22	2.35	2.48
0.03	0.50	1.90	2.12	2.32	2.51	2.68	2.85	3.00
0.03	0.60	1.96	2.19	2.40	2.59	2.77	2.93	3.09
0.03	0.70	2.01	2.24	2.46	2.65	2.84	3.01	3.17
0.03	0.80	2.05	2.29	2.51	2.71	2.90	3.08	3.24
0.03	1.00	2.13	2.38	2.61	2.82	3.01	3.19	3.37

Table 4 – Equivalent allowable flow velocity (m/s) for a given permissible shear stress (Pa) for non-vegetated **erosion control blankets**

Table 5 – Examples of Class 1, Type A erosion control blanke	ts ^[1]
--	-------------------

• •					
Products	Manufacturer				
Jutemaster FM ^[2]	Landplan Engineering Supplies				
Jutemaster TM ^[2]	Landplan Engineering Supplies				
Coconut fibre mat ^[2]	Sure Gro				
Surejute (various thicknesses) ^[2]	Sure Gro				
MaxJute Fine ^[2]	Treemax				
MaxJute Thick [2]	Treemax				
Fibremaster (recycled textile) ^[2]	United Bonded Fabrics				
Geomaster (jute textile) ^[2]	United Bonded Fabrics				

[1] Not all of the above products are available in Australia. The data is supplied simple as a guide to assist people in finding an equivalent local product.

[2] Preliminary classification (independent test results not observed).

Table 6 – Examples of Class 1, Type B erosion control blankets^[1]

Products	Manufacturer				
Curlex I WH	American Excelsior				
Curlex I	American Excelsior				
AEC Premier Straw SN	American Excelsior				
ECX-1	East Coast Erosion Systems				
ECM \$1000	Enviroscape				
S31	Erosion Control Blanket.com				
S31UVD	Erosion Control Blanket.com				
SS (formerly Proguard, S1 or Standard)	Erosion Control Systems				
Enviromat ^[2]	Geofabrics Australasia				
S75	North American Green				
DS75	North American Green				
DS150	North American Green				
SC150	North American Green				
Landlok S1	Propex				
Landlok S1RD	Propex				
SNS	SoilTex				
Erosion King	Rhino Seed and Turf Supply				
V 75 S	Verdyol				
V 75 S FD	Verdyol				
Excel SR-1	Western Excelsior				
Winters Straw *SNW	Western Excelsior				
Winters Straw SNG	Western Excelsior				

[1] Not all of the above products are available in Australia. The data is supplied simple as a guide to assist people in finding an equivalent local product.

[2] Preliminary classification (independent test results not observed).

Table 7 – Examples of Class 1, Type C erosion control blankets							
Products	Manufacturer						
Curlex High Velocity	American Excelsior						
AEC Premier Straw DN	American Excelsior						
Curlex II	American Excelsior						
Curlex LT	American Excelsior						
AEC Premier Straw/Coconut	American Excelsior						
S32	Erosion Control Blanket.com						
ProGaurd DS	Erosion Control Systems						
ECM S2000	Enviroscape						
EG-2S	Ero-Guard						
S150	North American Green						
DS150	North American Green						
SC 150	North American Green						
Landlok CS2	Propex						
Landlok S2	Propex						
DNS	SoilTex						
V 150 S	Verdyol						
Excel SS-2	Western Excelsior						
Excel SS-2 Rapid Grow	Western Excelsior						
Winters Straw HVW	Western Excelsior						
Winters Straw HVG	Western Excelsior						
Winters Choice HV	Western Excelsior						
Erosion King II	Rhino Seed and Turf Supply						

[1]

Table 8 – Examples of Class 1, Type AX erosion control blankets ^[1]

Products	Manufacturer				
Jutemaster TM ^[2]	Landplan Engineering Supplies				
Coconut fibre mat ^[2]	Sure Gro				
Surejute (various thicknesses) ^[2]	Sure Gro				
MaxJute Thick [2]	Treemax				
Fibremaster (recycled textile) ^[2]	United Bonded Fabrics				
Geomaster (jute textile) ^[2]	United Bonded Fabrics				

Not all of the above products are available in Australia. The data is supplied simple as a guide to assist people in finding an equivalent local product. [1]

[2] Preliminary classification (independent test results not observed).

Table 9 – Examples of Class 1, Type BX erosion control blankets	Table 9 –	Examples	of Class 1,	Type BX	erosion	control	blankets [1
---	-----------	----------	-------------	---------	---------	---------	-------------

Products	Manufacturer	
Curlex I Fibrenet	American Excelsior	
Curlex II Fibrenet	American Excelsior	
AEC Premier Straw Fibrenet	American Excelsior	
S 31 BD	Erosion Control Blanket.com	
S 75 BN	North American Green	
S 150 BN	North American Green	
SC 150 BN	North American Green	
C 125 BN	North American Green	
Excel SR-1 All Natural	Western Excelsior	
Excel R-1 All Natural	Western Excelsior	
WintersStraw Bio	Winters Excelsior	

Table 10 – Examples of Class 1, Type CX erosion control blankets^[1]

Products	Manufacturer
Curlex NetFree	American Excelsior
S 32 BD	Erosion Control Blanket.com
SC 32 BD	Erosion Control Blanket.com
EXCEL SS-2	Western Excelsior

Table 11 – Examples of Class 2, Type A erosion control blankets/mats

Products	Manufacturer
Soil-Saver Jute Mesh ^[2]	Landplan Engineering Supplies

[1] Preliminary classification (independent test results not observed).

Table 12 – Examples of Class 2, Type B erosion control blankets/mats^[1]

Products	Manufacturer
Dekowe 700	Belton Industries
Dekowe 900	Belton Industries
BioD-Mat	RoLanka

Table 13 – Examples of Class 2, Type C erosion control blankets/mats^[1]

Products	Manufacturer
AEC Premier Coconut	American Excelsior
C 32	Erosion Control Blanket.com
C 125	North American Green
C 125 BN	North American Green
C 350	North American Green
LandLock C 2	SI Geosolutions
DNC	SoilTex
V 125 C	Verdyol
WintersCoir HV	Winters Excelsior

For examples of Class 3 erosion control mats, refer to the fact sheet for *Erosion Control Mats* located within the channel/chute linings section.

Description

A biodegradable or synthetic blanket placed (rolled) on the surface of an erodible material. Blankets form part of the generic product range termed *'Rolled Erosion Control product'* (RECP).

A wide range of geotextiles are available for erosion control, each product having specific features that make that product suitable for a specified surface condition, environment or task.

Temporary Blankets:

The product can be 100% biodegradable or a composite geotextile incorporating UVsensitive netting for improved short-term stability. Commonly used materials include jute (plant product), recycled fibre (cotton waste), coir (coconut fibre), excelsior (wood shavings), straw and wool. They have a useful life span of around three to six months, but some produces can last for more than a year under light rainfall/dry conditions.

Weed Control Blankets:

Similar in content to normal erosion control blankets, but are usually thicker. An increasing number of synthetic-based products are becoming available that are 100% biodegradable, even though the break-down process may take several years.

Hydraulically-Applied or Spray-On Blankets: These products include *Bonded Fibre Matrix* (BFM) and *Compost Blankets.* They are hydraulically sprayed onto the soil surface where they dry to form a flexible or semi-flexible blanket. The spray-on material may consist of seed, fertiliser, tackifier and mulch contained in a water-based slurry. They are typically used as a one-step process for erosion control and revegetation.

Purpose

The primary purpose of erosion control blankets is to protect exposed soils, primarily on slopes, from the erosive forces of raindrop impact. Most blankets have limited shear strength and thus are generally not suited to areas of significant (high shear stress) concentrated flow.

Erosion control blankets can also be used to protect stockpiles from the erosive effects of wind and raindrop impact.

Some blankets can be used to suppress insitu plant (weed) growth, while others act as a mulch layer to promote seed germination and plant growth.

When used as a weed control blanket, seeding can (in some cases) be applied to the surface of the blanket (usually in the form of a hydromulch or BFM) to allow establishment of the preferred plant species.

Limitations

Synthetic-based blankets generally should not be use in bushland areas. Small ground-dwelling fauna, such as lizards and snakes, can become entangled in the netting. Ground-fossicking, granivorous (seed-eating) birds are also at risk of entanglement within the blankets.

Synthetic-based blankets should also be used with extreme caution in areas used by grazing animals.

Most biodegradable blankets have very limited shear strength and their resistant to concentrated flow can deteriorate rapidly over a period of a few months.

Adequate erosion control is generally not achieved if placed directly on a dispersive soil.

Advantages

From the wide range of commercial products available, a specific blanket can be chosen to manage the effects of raindrop impact, sheet erosion, weed growth, soil temperature fluctuations and/or soil moisture loss.

Most blankets are quick to install, and provide instant protection.

Disadvantages

Generally should not be placed directly over dispersive soils. The exception may be *Compost Blankets* (depending on the degree of dispersion, slope and hydraulic conditions).

Intimate soil contact is critical for blanket success and therefore extensive soil preparation is required before application to an irregular (eroded) surface.

Most natural fabrics have a very limited working life and low shear strength.

Synthetic-based blankets can be damaging to local wildlife.

Common Problems

'Tenting' caused by blankets being placed over irregular surfaces (i.e. as a result of poor surface preparation or blanket placement).

Failure of the blankets when place directly over dispersible soils. Such 'failures' may result in displacement of the blanket, or severe rilling under the blanket.

Blankets are often overlapped in the wrong direction causing the blankets to be displaced by water flow.

Poorly anchored blankets are often displaced by strong winds.

Special Requirements

Four general requirements exist for effective protection against erosion:

- intimate contact must be achieved;
- seepage flow should be discouraged;
- surface irregularities removed; and
- good anchorage must be provided.

The method of installation varies with the type of material used and the purpose being performed by the blanket.

Surface-laid blankets are generally laid over at least 75mm of topsoil that is seeded, fertilised, watered, and raked to remove any large irregularities.

Site Inspection

Check that the blankets are adequately anchored/trenched along their outer edges.

Check for erosion along the edge of the blankets.

Check that the blankets overlap in the direction of flow, and/or strongest wind.

If vegetation is expected to grow (emerge) through the blanket, check that the blanket has good contact with the soil. The blanket may need to be rolled after placement to achieve good surface contact.

Materials

Unless otherwise specified, the following material specifications should apply.

Geotextile blankets:

- Woven polypropylene fabric.
- Minimum thickness of 1.5mm.
- Minimum width of 3.6m.

Staples:

- Minimum 11 gauge steel wire.
- U-shaped with 200mm leg length and 50mm crown.

Excelsior blankets:

- Curled wood fibre blanket with 80% of fibres longer than 150mm.
- Minimum roll width of 1200mm.
- Average weight of 0.43kg/m² +/-10%.

Straw blankets:

- Minimum roll width of 2m.
- Minimum weight of 0.27kg/m².

Coconut fibre blankets:

- Minimum roll width of 2m.
- Minimum weight of 0.27kg/m².

Installation

The method of installation varies with the type of material used and the task being performed by the blanket. Installation procedures should be supplied by the manufacturer or distributor of the product. A typical installation procedure for rolled erosion control products is described below.

Application of rolled blankets on slopes not subjected to concentrated flow:

- 1. Refer to approved plans for location, extent, and installation details. If there are questions or problems with the location, extent, or method of installation contact the engineer or responsible on-site officer for assistance.
- 2. Clear away trash and large stones, and grade smoothly to eliminate footprints, tracks and ruts.
- 3. Prepare a smooth seedbed of approximately 75mm of topsoil.
- 4. Apply seed, soil ameliorants and water as specified, then rake to remove any remaining surface irregularities.
- 5. Commence placement of the blankets at the top of the slope. Bury the upper edge of the blanket within a 300mm deep trench and staple at 200 to 250mm centres.
- 6. The blankets can be placed lengthwise either along the slope (parallel to the contours) or down the slope (transverse to the contours), but not diagonally across the slope.
- 7. Overlap the sides of each blanket by at least 100mm.
- 8. Bury the edge of the blanket located along the outer most edge of the treated area within a 300mm deep trench and staple the blanket within the trench at 200 to 250mm centres.

- 9. Where more than one blanket is used down the slope, overlap each blanket by at least 300mm with the upper blanket placed **over** the lower blanket (shingle style).
- 10. When spreading the blankets, avoid stretching the fabric. The blankets should remain in good contact with the soil.
- 11. Staple the exposed fabric surface at 1m centres.
- 12. Blankets, once fixed, may be rolled with a roller weighing 60 to 90kg/m length, then watered.
- 13. The installation procedure must ensure that the blanket achieves and retains intimate contact with the soil.
- 14. Damaged fabric shall be repaired or replaced.
- 15. Where directed, an additional mesh (jute or coir) anchor may need to be placed over the blankets to minimise displacement by strong winds.

Additional requirements associated with use near airport pavements:

1. Only blankets that are double netted shall be allowed within 3m of any airport pavement used by aircraft with the exception of airports classified as air carrier or corporate/transport. If the airport is classified as an air carrier or corporate/transport, there will be no blankets allowed within 9m of pavement used by aircraft.

2. Only biodegradable anchoring devices shall be allowed in the installation of any blanket for airport applications. No metal staples will be allowed.

Maintenance

- 1. During the active construction period, inspect the treated area fortnightly and after runoff-producing storm events and make repairs as needed.
- 2. The treated area should be inspected at least fortnightly for the first 3 months.
- 3. Inspect the treated area to see if:
 - (i) construction activity or falling debris have damaged the blankets;
 - (ii) runoff is undermining the fabric;
- (iii) the blankets are in good contact with the soil; and
- (iv) the blankets maintain adequate overlap.
- 4. If damaged, repair or replace the damaged section. If water is undermining the fabric, repair any holes or joints or re-bury the upper ends of the damaged sections.

Installation Notes:

Erosion control blankets should always be installed such that the up-slope blanket over-laps the down-slope blanket in the direction of surface water flow as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Placement of erosion control blankets

In circumstances where significant run-on water enters the upper edge of the treated area, this up-slope edge of the blanket should be suitably trenched (as shown in Photo 1) to prevent water passing under the blanket. The **intent** here is to prevent the displacement of the blanket, and to prevent the separation of the blanket from the ground surface, thus maintaining ideal conditions for the emergence of recently germinated seedlings.